



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,519	02/04/2005		Takako Araki	050066	1371	
23850	7590	06/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARMSTRO 1725 K STR		Z, QUINTOS, I	NGUYEN, LINH THI			
SUITE 1000	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2627		
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/523,519	ARAKI, TAKAKO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Linh T. Nguyen	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe							
,	,—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	00 0.0, 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(g)(1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection, there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

Claims 1-2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) as being unpatentable by applicant admitted prior art.

In regards to claim 1, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a disk playback device comprising a calculation processing circuit for determining an optimum value of offset for an error signal based on an amplitude value of the error signal in accordance with focus deviation or tracking deviation of an optical head or an amplitude value of an output signal of the optical head, and making an offset adjustment based on the optimum offset value (Paragraph [0004]), the calculation processing circuit approximating to a quadratic curve the relationship between offset values and the amplitude values in signal reproduction (Fig. 19), and repeating calculation of the optimum offset values based on the quadratic curve, and comprising: calculation processing means for approximating to a quadratic curve the relationship between the offset values and the amplitude values with reference to three different offset values and three amplitude values at the respective offset values (Paragraph [0005], lines 16-17),

and calculating an offset value corresponding to the peak of the quadratic curve as the optimum offset value (Fig. 19, Popt1= optimum offset), and value setting means for setting the three different offset values (Fig. 19, P0, P1, and P4): a first offset value (Fig. 19, P0); a second offset value (Fig. 19, P1) smaller than the first offset value (P0) and having an amplitude value smaller (Fig. 19, T1) than an amplitude value at the first offset (Fig. 19, T0) value by a predetermined value (T0) or more; a third offset value (Fig. 19, P4) greater than the first offset value (P0) and having an amplitude value (T4) smaller than an amplitude value at the first offset (T1) value by a predetermined value (T0) or more, and setting the three amplitude values respectively at three amplitude values at the first to third offset values (Fig. 19), the value setting means setting the first offset value at an optimum offset value obtained in a previous optimum offset value calculation processing, and setting the second and third offset values respectively at second and third offset values set in a previous optimum offset value calculation processing (Paragraph [0005], lines 16-22).

In regards to claim 2, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a disk playback device according to claim 1, wherein the calculation processing circuit comprises: first checking means for checking whether an amplitude value at the previous second offset value is smaller than an amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value by a predetermined value or more, second checking means for checking whether an amplitude value at the previous third offset value is smaller than an amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value by a predetermined value or more (Paragraph [0007],

Art Unit: 2627

lines 1-6), the value setting means comprising: second offset value setting means for retrieving an offset value having an amplitude value smaller than the amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value by a predetermined value or more (Fig. 13, S95; Px which is P0-P4 is less than Pmax) when the amplitude value at the previous second offset value is not found to be smaller than the amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value by a predetermined value or more, and setting a second offset value at the retrieved offset value (Fig. 13, E; tries to set the P back to less than the initial from step S100-S101), and third offset value setting means for retrieving an offset value having an amplitude value smaller than the amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value by a predetermined value or more when the amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value is not found to be smaller than the amplitude value at the previous optimum offset value by a predetermined value or more, and setting a third offset value at the retrieved offset value (Fig. 13 and 14, same steps follow as above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art in view of Asano et al (US Publication Number 200400227947).

Art Unit: 2627

In regards to claim 3, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a disk playback device according to claim 1 or claim 2 above.

Applicant's admitted prior art does not but Asano et al discloses a disk playback device, wherein the disk playback device comprises temperature detection means for detecting a temperature of the disk, and the calculation processing circuit calculates the optimum offset value every time the disk is varied in temperature by a predetermined temperature value (Paragraph [0025] and [0026]). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disk playback device of applicant's admitted art to detect variation in temperature as taught by Asano et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to so that signals can be reproduced with higher accuracy by correcting the optimum offset value in relationship with the temperature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5513. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,519 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LN June 8, 2006

ANDREA WELLINGTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER